

Nottingham North and East Clinical Commissioning Group

Meeting Title	NHS Nottingham North and East CCG Governing Body		Date: 10 October 2017								
Paper Title	Primary Care Commissioning Committee Highlight Report		Agenda Item: 17/162								
Lead Director Report Author	Sharon Pickett Hazel Buchanan										
Purpose (tick one only)	Approval	<input type="checkbox"/>	Acknowledge/ Note	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Review	<input type="checkbox"/>	For Information	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Executive Summary	<p>Key points from the meeting held on the 5 October include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> GP resilience funding has been received with £23k going directly to practices. Training has been carried out on care navigation and this has been very well received. Healthwatch fully endorse this training. Considerable discussion was held on seven day working – needs to be in place April 2019 with funding being available from April 2018. The requirement is that have to provide 1.5 additional hours per day after 6:30PM and weekend provision on Saturday and Sunday. There was support for collaboration across practices and this will be considered as part of the specification. Major risk is that there is not a GP federation amongst the CCGs practices however, it was confirmed that this is progressing. The Committee discussed the concerns of GP practices and the need for accompanying changes across the system including with clinical input and 111, workforce capacity, patient demand and continuing with early morning hours. Extensive patient engagement has been carried out and this has provided considerable feedback which is being used to inform the specification. GP Five Year Forward Plan – The Committee received confirmation that all tasks in the action plan are progressing to plan. On-line consultations have been paused by NHS England. Merger of Apple Tree and Ivy – The Committee agreed in principle with particular areas of focus to be considered further. There will be full engagement with public and stakeholders. Co-commissioning budget is on plan. 										
If paper is for approval, have the following impact assessments been completed?											
Quality Impact Assessment	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Equality Impact Assessment	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Privacy Impact Assessment	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conflicts of Interest - Recommended action to be agreed by the Chair at the beginning of the item.											
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No conflict identified <input type="checkbox"/> Conflict noted, conflicted party can participate in discussion but not decision <input type="checkbox"/> Conflict noted, conflicted party can remain but not participate <input type="checkbox"/> Conflicted party is excluded from discussion											
Implications: <i>(please tick where relevant)</i>											

Integration	<input type="checkbox"/>	Patient Choice	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Reducing inequality	<input type="checkbox"/>	Patient & Public Involvement	<input type="checkbox"/>
Constitution	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Quality of Services	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Governance	<input type="checkbox"/>	QIPP	<input type="checkbox"/>
Innovation	<input type="checkbox"/>	Research	<input type="checkbox"/>
Learning and Development	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sustainability	<input type="checkbox"/>

Finance checked by: *(initials)*

Appendices	
Report History	
Patient and Public Involvement	
Recommendation	<p>The Governing Body is asked to:</p> <p>1. ACKNOWLEDGE the highlight report and discussions held.</p>