

Nottinghamshire Transforming Care Partnership Performance Dashboard
2017/18

Month: August 2017
RAG Rating (this month): **Green**

1. Summary

Inpatient Trajectories												
Bed Type	2017/18					2018/19						
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Non Secure (CCG)	29	29	28	26	23	20	17	13				
Secure (Specialised Commissioning)	43	40	36	31	28	26	24	23				
Current Position												
	Q1 2017/18			Q2 2017/18			Q3 2017/18			Q4 2017/18		
	Apr	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar
Non Secure	32	32	28	29	30							
Run Rate	+3	+3	-1	0	+1							
Secure	45	42	44	42	41							
Run Rate	+2	-1	+1	-1	-1							
TCP Run Rate	+5	+2	0	-1	0							

2. Performance

Bed Type	Number of Inpatients (previous month end)	Number of admissions in month	Number of discharges (in month)	Total number of in patients (current)	Total number of patients who have been inpatients for 5 years or more	% of admissions with a CTR or LAEP	% of CTRs carried out within 4 weeks of admission	Beds Closed within month
Non Secure (by CCG)	Bas'law - 2 City - 10 M&A - 7 N&S - 6 NNE - 2 NW - 1 Rush - 0	Bas'law - 1 City - 1 M&A - 1 N&S - 0 NNE - 0 NW - 0 Rush - 0	Bas'law - 0 City - 0 M&A - 0 N&S - 0 NNE - 1 NW - 0 Rush - 0	Bas'law - 3 City - 11 M&A - 8 N&S - 6 NNE - 1 NW - 1 Rush - 0	Bas'law - 0 City - 5 M&A - 1 N&S - 2 NNE - 0 NW - 0 Rush - 0	33% (Based on 3 admissions. Bassetlaw conducted a LAEP, City and County were informed of admissions in August, but admissions had already taken place)	75% (based on 4 admissions taking place between 4 th July and 3 rd August not counting transfers. 3 were within timescale and 1 was not as admission was in April but CCG not notified until August)	0
Totals	28	3	1	30	8	33%	75%	0
Secure	Bas'law - 2 City - 23 M&A - 10 N&S - 3 NNE - 3 NW - 0 Rush - 1	Bas'law - 0 City - 0 M&A - 0 N&S - 0 NNE - 0 NW - 0 Rush - 0	Bas'law - 0 City - 1 M&A - 0 N&S - 0 NNE - 0 NW - 0 Rush - 0	Bas'law - 2 City - 22 M&A - 10 N&S - 3 NNE - 3 NW - 0 Rush - 1	Bas'law - 2 City - 9 M&A - 5 N&S - 2 NNE - 3 NW - 0 Rush - 0	N/A	N/A	0
Totals	42	0	1	41	21	N/A	N/A	0
TCP Total	70	3	2	71	29	33%	75%	0

3. Nottinghamshire Performance against the National Priority Areas for TCPs

Area	Issue	Actions
Inpatient numbers - including delays in discharges	TCP is over trajectory due to 3 admissions and only 2 discharges. All admissions are deemed to have been appropriate / necessary.	A number of planned discharges identified to take place before the end of September 2017 which should return the TCP to trajectory.
Long Stay patients (5 year+)	Majority of long stay patients are in secure beds with some issues relating to how people are being prepared for discharge.	TCP in contact with a Clinical Reviewer with the DCO team and a number of patients identified for independent review in order that any recommendations and actions can be taken forward.
CTRs	CTRs are successfully held both pre and post admission with good attendance from all partners but compliance with CTRs when individuals admitted to non LD beds is decreasing.	Work is being undertaken to ensure that people admitted to AMH beds or people who are non LD who have ASD are identified and notified at the appropriate stage.
Children & Young People	None, very low number of C+YP in inpatient facilities and CAMHS crisis team and urgent respite already in place for this cohort.	Monthly CYP steering group reviews continue. C+YP dynamic risk register in place and assessment of provision for C+YP in residential schools, people going through transition, and people placed in CAMHS tier 4 provision including discharge plans continues
Workforce	Workforce statistics and strategy being developed.	Workforce development and training is planned throughout 2017/18.
Health Inequalities	Compliance with GP annual health checks is below requirements	Work is being undertaken to ensure all CCGs meet their targets in relation to GP annual health checks for the LD population. Lead pharmacists are also looking at ways to monitor prescribing in line with national STOMP recommendations.
PHB	None, as all patients moving into the community are being considered for a PHB and both City and County PHB coordinators are monitoring the uptake of these in line with TCP plan.	

4. Key to Abbreviations

Abbreviation	
CCG	Clinical Commissioning Group: These are clinically-led NHS bodies responsible for the planning and commissioning of health care services for their local area.
CTR	Care and Treatment Review: These ensure that the care and treatment and differing support needs of the person and their families are met, and that barriers to progress are challenged and overcome.
LAEP	Local Area Emergency Protocol: Previously known as a Blue Light Meeting. This should be organised when an admission is being sought in an urgent and unplanned way in order to avoid an unnecessary admission.
PHB	Personal Health Budget: This is an amount of money to support the identified healthcare and wellbeing needs of an individual, which is planned and agreed between the individual, or their representative, and the local CCG.
TCP	Transforming Care Partnership: Local partnerships whose aim is to improve services for people with learning disabilities and/or autism, who display behaviour that challenges, including those with a mental health condition to enable more people to live in the community, with the right support, and close to home.