

Nottingham North and East

Clinical Commissioning Group

Meeting Title:	Open Primary Care Commissioning Committee		Date: 16 April 2019					
Paper Title:	Primary Care Network Configuration		Paper Reference: PCCC/19/024 a					
Sponsor:	Fiona Callaghan – Locality Director – NNE CCG							
Previous Related Papers:								
Recommendation:	Approve	<input type="checkbox"/>	Endorse	<input type="checkbox"/>	Review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Receive/Note for:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assurance Information 	
Summary Purpose of Paper:	<p>The paper describes the proposed approach to Primary Care Network Configuration in Nottingham North and East CCG and seeks support from the PCCC for the proposed approach.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The proposed configuration was developed to try to align practices around natural communities in line with national guidance The proposed configuration has been supported in PCN and Clinical Cabinet meetings Practices have been written to in order to ask them to confirm they are happy with the proposed configuration One practice has expressed a desire to be placed in a different PCN to the one proposed, resolution is being sought with the support of the LMC 							
If paper is for Approval/Endorsement, have the following impact assessments been completed?								
Equality / Quality Impact Assessment	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	Data Protection Impact Assessment	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>			
	No	<input type="checkbox"/>		No	<input type="checkbox"/>			
	N/A	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		N/A	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Conflicts of Interest: Please consider whether there are any conflicts of interest considerations relevant to paper authors, members or attendees.								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No conflict identified <input type="checkbox"/> Conflict noted, conflicted party can participate in discussion and decision <input type="checkbox"/> Conflict noted, conflicted party can participate in discussion, but not decision <input type="checkbox"/> Conflict noted, conflicted party can remain, but not participate in discussion or decision <input type="checkbox"/> Conflicted party to be excluded from meeting								
Please identify conflicted party and specify reason for conflict:								
Have All Relevant Implications Been Considered? <i>(please tick where relevant)</i>								
Clinical Engagement	<input type="checkbox"/>	Patient and Public Involvement	<input type="checkbox"/>					
Quality Improvement	<input type="checkbox"/>	Equality, Diversity and Human Rights	<input type="checkbox"/>					

Integration	<input type="checkbox"/>	Innovation / Research	<input type="checkbox"/>
Improving Health Outcomes / Reducing Health Inequalities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Patient Choice / Shared Decision Making	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Financial Management	<input type="checkbox"/>	Corporate Governance	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the Information in this paper confidential? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> If yes, please state reason why:			
Risk: <i>(briefly explain any risks associated with the paper)</i>			
Recommendation:	The Committee is asked to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CONSIDER how the proposed PCN structure could accommodate the preferences of both the Jubilee and Ivy practices whilst still meeting the requirement for PCNs to form around a single, coherent area • SUPPORT the proposed PCN structure or recommend how the PCN structure is amended 		