## NHS Nottingham North and East Clinical Commissioning Group

Meeting Title:	NHS Notting East CCG C Body		Date: 16 October 2018								
Paper Title:	Emergency Resilience a (EPRR) Cor Self-Assess	nd Re e Stai	esponse	Paper Reference: NNE/GB/18/115 a							
Sponsor:	Hazel Buchanan, Greater Nottingham CCGs										
Previous Related Papers:											
Recommendation:	Approve		Endorse		Review	•	eceive/Note for: Assurance Information				
Summary Purpose of Paper:	The NHS needs to plan for and respond to a wide range of emergencies and business continuity incidents that could affect the health/patient safety of individuals or populations. Typically these are related to severe weather, outbreaks of diseases (e.g. flu) and major incidents. A significant amount of planning and testing takes place across the public sector under the Civil Contingencies Act (2004). In the health service this work is referred to as EPRR. Each year an NHS wide assurance process is carried out against the core standards and the process for 2018 is being carried out by NHS England between September and October. As part of this the CCG is required to complete a self-assessment and CCGs support NHS England in seeking assurance from providers. The paper provides further detail on the process and arrangements in place for the CCG. The CCG is overall assessed as green. In this year's self-assessment there is a specific focus on the following domains; Governance Training and exercising Business Continuity										
If paper is for Approval/Endorsement, have the following impact assessments been completed?											
Equality / Quality Impa Assessment	act Yes No N/A			Privac Asses	sy Sment	Impact					
Conflicts of Interest: Recommended action to be agreed by the Chair at the beginning of the item.											
No conflict identified											
Conflict noted, conflicted party can participate in discussion but not decision											
Conflict noted, conflicted party can remain but not participate											
Conflicted party is excluded from discussion											
Have All Relevant Implications Been Considered? (please tick where relevant)											

Clinical Engagement			Patient and Public Involvement				
Quality Improvement			Equality, Diversity and Human Rights				
Integration			Innovation / Research				
Improving Health Outcomes Health Inequalities	s / Reducing		Patient Choice / Shared Decision Making				
Financial Management			Corporate Governance	$\boxtimes$			
<b>Risk:</b> (briefly explain any risks the paper)	s associated with						
Recommendation:	The Governing Body is asked to: <b>NOTE</b> Emergency Preparedness, Resilience and Response (EPRR) Core Standards Self-Assessment.						