

Nottingham North and East Clinical Commissioning Group

Meeting Title:	NHS Nottingham North and East CCG Open Governing Body	Date: 16 October 2018						
Paper Title:	Nottinghamshire County CCGs' Annual Safeguarding Report 2017-18	Paper Reference: NNE/GB/18/111 a						
Sponsor:	Nichola Bramhall, Chief Nurse/ Director of Quality							
Previous Related Papers:								
Recommendation:	Approve	<input type="checkbox"/>	Endorse	<input type="checkbox"/>	Review	<input type="checkbox"/>	Receive/Note for:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assurance • Information 	
Summary Purpose of Paper:	<p>This report provides assurance that Nottinghamshire CCGs are fulfilling their statutory responsibilities to children as outlined in the Children Acts 1989 and 2004 and to adults as outlined in the Care Act 2014.</p> <p>Key areas where positive progress has been achieved against the previous year's priorities include: -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Received the findings from 360* Audits of CCG Safeguarding Children and Adult arrangements and monitored subsequent action plans in response. • Received reports on plans in response to safeguarding concerns in local care homes • Monitored progress and issues arising from local and national inquiries into historical abuse in children's homes including Operation Equinox and the National Independent Inquiry into Child Sexual Abuse • Monitored progress and subsequent learning and improvement in relation to six Serious Case Reviews (child) 1 safeguarding Adult Review and 5 Domestic Homicide Reviews • Received reports in relation to progress in response to health services for Children in Care of the Local authority • Oversaw the CCG position and responses in relation to Domestic and Sexual Abuse • Received reports in relation to CCG implementation of the Learning Disability Review Process (Learning Disabilities Mortality Review - LeDeR) • Received assurance and benefit analysis of the NHS England Safeguarding Assurance tool pilot • Made recommendations in relation to future provision of the Multi Agency Safeguarding Hub (MASH) health team • Monitored and reported safeguarding and children in care risks • Received reports on the Nottinghamshire Children in Care Service Improvement forum, the action plan and associated working groups. • Oversaw the response to Looked After Children (LAC) health assessment performance data. • Oversaw the Looked After Children Out of Area (OOA) and Other Looked After Children (OLAC) pathways being devised • Agreement of the Unaccompanied Asylum Seeking Children (UASC) CCG pathway <p>In response to National priorities and key work-streams identified by the Nottinghamshire Safeguarding Children and Adult Boards, the Safeguarding</p>							

<p>Committee has identified the following priorities for the coming year:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approving terms of reference for the newly formed Safeguarding Assurance Group (City and County CCGs) and the Executive Strategic Safeguarding Group, embedding the new safeguarding governance arrangements across the STP footprint • Continue to promote a partnership approach across Nottinghamshire and Nottingham City CCGs to ensure best practice within available resources • To ensure the alignment of safeguarding priorities with STP plans and national directives • To work with Local Authority and Police partners to implement recommendations from the revised Working Together to Safeguard Children Statutory Guidance 2018 when published • To report to Local Safeguarding Children and Adult Boards and Strategic Safeguarding Partnerships • Support the development and implementation of integrated safeguarding children and looked after children care pathways <p>2017-18 saw continued development and contribution to CCG multi-agency safeguarding partnerships across Nottinghamshire. The next year will focus on aligning the Safeguarding Arrangements with newly developing Sustainability and Transformation plans and integrating with Nottingham City Colleagues.</p>													
<p>If paper is for Approval/Endorsement, have the following impact assessments been completed?</p>													
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Equality / Quality Impact Assessment</td> <td>Yes <input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Privacy Impact Assessment</td> <td>Yes <input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>No <input type="checkbox"/></td> <td></td> <td>No <input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td></td> <td>N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>	Equality / Quality Impact Assessment	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	Privacy Impact Assessment	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>		No <input type="checkbox"/>		No <input type="checkbox"/>		N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Equality / Quality Impact Assessment	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	Privacy Impact Assessment	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>										
	No <input type="checkbox"/>		No <input type="checkbox"/>										
	N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
<p>Conflicts of Interest: Recommended action to be agreed by the Chair at the beginning of the item.</p>													
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No conflict identified</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Conflict noted, conflicted party can participate in discussion but not decision</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Conflict noted, conflicted party can remain but not participate</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Conflicted party is excluded from discussion</p>													
<p>Have All Relevant Implications Been Considered? <i>(please tick where relevant)</i></p>													
Clinical Engagement	<input type="checkbox"/>	Patient and Public Involvement	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Quality Improvement	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Equality, Diversity and Human Rights	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Integration	<input type="checkbox"/>	Innovation / Research	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Improving Health Outcomes / Reducing Health Inequalities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Patient Choice / Shared Decision Making	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Financial Management	<input type="checkbox"/>	Corporate Governance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
<p>Risk: <i>(briefly explain any risks associated with the paper)</i></p>													
<p>Recommendation:</p>	<p>The Governing Body is asked to:</p> <p>NOTE the contents of the report.</p>												