Meeting Title		NHS Nottingham North and East CCG Governing Body			Date: 19 September 2017								
Paper Title	EQuality In Process	Quality Impact Assessment (EQIA) Agenda Item:											
Lead Director		Nichola Bramhall, Director of Nursing and Quality											
Report Author	Lucy Brans	Lucy Branson, Director of Corporate Development, City CCG											
Purpose (tick on	e Approval		owledge/	Note	1 Rev	view		For Ir	nformation				
only)	, approvar	Z / Northe	wicage			V10 VV		1 01 11	normation	' '			
								l.					
Executive													
Summary	develop a	City and County CCG Quality and Equality Leads have been working together to develop a revised process to be used to consider the quality and equality impacts of schemes associated with the Greater Nottingham Financial Recovery Plan (FRP).											
	quality and inequalities	The aim of the revised process is to ensure that full consideration is given to the quality and equality impacts of proposed changes and to ensure that health inequalities are also addressed. It also aims to provide more support to leads to ensure the appropriate completion of EQIAs.											
	assessmer a full EQIA will also ide	The revised documentation comprises of an EQIA screening tool and a full EQIA assessment document. The EQIA screening tool will identify which changes require a full EQIA and at what stages of the change development this is required. The tool will also identify which changes require patient, public and/or clinician engagement/consultation.											
	communica established challenge a	A fortnightly panel comprising senior leads for quality, equality and communications/ engagement from both City and County CCGs has been established to support leads in the development of EQIAs, provide confirm and challenge and make recommendations to the Financial Recovery Group, regarding decision making.											
	version of t	The attached paper outlines the revised process and also identifies how a modified version of the process will be used to replace the current processes for considering impacts of schemes/ policies that are not associated with the FRP.											
If paper is for app	proval, have the			essments	been o				T				
Quality Impact Assessment	Yes	Equality Assessm		Yes			acy Ir essme	npact	Yes				
Assessment	No 🗆	ASSESSIII	'	No N/A		ASS	2551116	; i il	No				
Conflicte of Int	N/A 🗵				× 45 - C	 :	ا مالا ا		N/A	4			
Conflicts of Int		inended acti	ion to be	agreed b	y ine C	ııaır a	ı ıne t	eginni	ng of the I	tem.			
☒ No conflict identified☐ Conflict noted, conflicted party can participate in discussion but not decision													
	ed, conflicted pa	•	•			101 40	5101011						
☐ Conflicted party is excluded from discussion													
		Implication	1 S: (please	e tick wher	e relevan	nt)							
Integration				Patient	Choice								
Reducing inequa	lity		\boxtimes	Patient	& Publi	c Invo	lveme	ent					

Constitution			Quality of Services	\boxtimes			
Governance		\boxtimes	QIPP	\boxtimes			
Innovation			Research				
Learning and Development			Sustainability	\boxtimes			
			Finance checked by:	(N/A)			
Appendices							
Report History							
Patient and Public							
Involvement							
Recommendation	The Governing Body is asked to:						
		-					