NHS Nottingham North and East Clinical Commissioning Group

Meeting Title	NHS Nottingham North and East CCG Governing Body				Date: 19 September 2017						
Paper Title	Emergency Preparedness, Resilience and Response (EPRR) Core Standards Self-Assessment				Agenda Item: NNE/GB17144a						
Lead Director Report Author	Hazel Buchanan										
-	•										
Purpose (tick one only)	Approval		wledge/	Note		Review	F	For Infor	rmation		
	•										
Executive Summary	The NHS needs to plan for and respond to a wide range of emergencies and business continuity incidents that could affect the health/patient safety of individuals or populations. Typically these are related to severe weather, outbreaks of diseases (e.g., flu) and major transport incidents. A significant amount of planning and testing takes place across the public sector under the Civil Contingencies Act (2004). In the health service this work is referred to as EPRR. Each year an NHS wide assurance process is carried out against the core standards and the process for 2017 is being carried out by NHS England between September and October. As part of this the CCG is required to complete a self- assessment and CCGs support NHS England in seeking assurance from providers. The paper provides further detail on the process and arrangements in place for the CCG. The CCG is overall assessed as green. The cyber attack was an opportunity to test arrangements and an area for improvement marked as amber are the post incident reviews. In this year's self-assessment there is a specific focus on business continuity and the CCG is green.										
If paper is for appro	val, have the			essme	nts be						
	es 🗆	Equality I	-	Yes			acy Imp		es [
Assessment N	o 🗆	Assessm	ent	No		Asse	essment	t N	o [
N	/A 🛛			N/A	\boxtimes			N	/A [\boxtimes	
Conflicts of Inter	est - Recon	mended acti	on to be	aareed	d bv th	ne Chair a	t the bea	ainnina	of the it	em.	
 Conflicts of Interest - Recommended action to be agreed by the Chair at the beginning of the item. No conflict identified Conflict noted, conflicted party can participate in discussion but not decision Conflict noted, conflicted party can remain but not participate Conflicted party is excluded from discussion 											
Implications: (please tick where relevant)											
Integration				Patie	nt Ch	oice					
Reducing inequality	,			Patie	nt & F	Public Invo	lvement	t			
Constitution				Quali	ity of \$	Services					
Governance			\boxtimes	QIPF)					\boxtimes	
Innovation				Rese	arch						

Learning and Development			Sustainability			
			Finance checked by:			
Appendices						
Report History						
Patient and Public Involvement						
Recommendation	The Governing Body is asked to approve Emergency Preparedness, Resilience and Response (EPRR) Core Standards Self-Assessment					