

Nottingham North and East Clinical Commissioning Group

wieeting little		_	North and East Rody	20 September 2017							
Paper Title	CCG Governing Body Clinical Cabinet				Agenda Item: NNE/GB/135						
Lead Director Report Author	Sharon Pickett, Deputy Chief Officer Emma Pearson, Governance Manager										
Purpose (tick one only)	Approval		Acknowledge/ Note	\boxtimes	Review		For Information				
Executive Summary	The Clinical Cabinet met on the 19th July 2017 Agenda items and discussion included the following: Finance Update Ian Livsey provided a financial update and comprehensive overview of financial turnaround. Ian confirmed that clinical variation was being analysed in Primary and Secondary Care. Discussions took place in relation to follow up appointments in secondary care and the need for both a criteria for discharging patients and patients that require a follow up appointment. Atrial Fibrillation Quality Improvement Proposal Dr Panesar highlighted the benefits of the improvement proposal and explained that the pulses checks would be taken opportunistically using Alice Cor devices. Practices were asked to identify an AF champion. The Clinical Cabinet approved the Atrial Fibrillation Quality Improvement Proposal. Healthy Families Programme An update was provided The Healthy Families Programme by Stewart Newman and it was acknowledged that the implementation had been challenging. Stewart confirmed that the funding available for the service was set to reduce over a number of years with an expectation that the same service and quality was provided On Going Compression Bandaging Stewart explained that there was no contractual requirement for GP practices to provide compression bandaging however some GP practices were providing the service and as a result there was inconsistent provision across the CCG. Stewart proposed that there was an opportunity to reduce workload for practices if the waiting times for the leg ulcer clinics were reduced by consistently providing compression bandaging across the CCG. A discussion took place around the impact of providing the service and the possibility of the development of a QIPP scheme										
	vai naveine	- 103110)\	v			-1-0.					

Quality Impact	Yes		Equality I		Yes		Privacy Impact	Yes		
Assessment	No		Assessm	ent	No		Assessment	No		
	N/A	\boxtimes			N/A	\boxtimes		N/A	\boxtimes	
Implications: (please tick where relevant)										
Integration				\boxtimes	Patie					
Reducing inequality					Patie	Patient & Public Involvement				
Constitution			\boxtimes	Quali	Quality of Services					
Governance				\boxtimes	QIPP				\boxtimes	
Innovation				Rese	Research					
Learning and Development				Susta	Sustainability					
									•	
						Fi	inance checked b	y:	N/A	
Appendices	N/A	1								
Report History	eport History									
Recommendation	on The	The Governing Body is asked to:								
		ACKNOWLEDGE the agenda items and consideration given in the Clinical Cabinet.								