

Nottingham North and East CCG

CCG 360° stakeholder survey 2015 Main report

Version 1| Internal Use Only



Table of contents



Slide 3	Background and objectives
Slide 4	Methodology and technical details
Slide 6	Interpreting the results
Slide 7	Using the results
Slide 9	Summary
Slide 16	Overall engagement and relationships
Slide 27	Domain 1
Slide 37	Domain 2
Slide 55	Domain 3
Slide 81	Domain 4
Slide 93	Domain 5
Slide 111	Domain 6

Background and objectives



Clinical Commissioning Groups (CCGs) need to have strong relationships with a range of health and care partners in order to be successful commissioners within the local system. These relationships provide CCGs with on-going information, advice and knowledge to help them make the best possible commissioning decisions.

The CCG 360° stakeholder survey is a key part of ensuring these strong relationships are in place. The survey allows stakeholders to provide feedback on working relationships with CCGs. The results from the survey will serve two purposes:

- To provide a wealth of data for CCGs to help with their ongoing organisational development, enabling them to continue to build strong and productive relationships with stakeholders. The findings can provide a valuable tool for all CCGs to be able to evaluate their progress and inform their organisational decisions.
- 2. To feed into assurance conversations between NHS England sub-regions and CCGs. The survey will form part of the evidence used to assess whether the stakeholder relationships, forged during the transition through authorisation, continue to be central to the effective commissioning of services by CCGs, and in doing so, improve quality and outcomes for patients.



Social Research Institute

Methodology and technical details



- It was the responsibility of each CCG to provide the list of stakeholders to invite to take part in the CCG 360° stakeholder survey.
- CCGs were provided with a core list of stakeholder organisations (outlined in the table opposite) to be included in their stakeholder list. Beyond this however, CCGs had the flexibility to determine which individual within each organisation was the most appropriate to nominate.
- They were also given the opportunity to add up to ten additional stakeholders they wanted to include locally (they are referred to in this report as 'Wider stakeholders'). These included: Commissioning Support Units, Health Education England, lower tier local authorities, MPs, private providers, Public Health England, social care / community organisations, Voluntary Sector Council/Leader, voluntary / third sector organisations, local care homes, GP out-of-hours providers and other stakeholders and clinicians.
- The survey was conducted primarily online via email invitations. Stakeholders who did not respond to the email invitation, and stakeholders for whom an email address was not provided, were telephoned by an Ipsos MORI interviewer who encouraged response and offered the opportunity to complete the survey by telephone.

Core stakeholder framework

GP member practices	One from every member practice
Health and wellbeing boards	Up to two per HWB
Local HealthWatch	One per local HealthWatch
Other patient groups	Up to three
NHS providers – Acute	Up to two from each provider
NHS providers – Mental health trusts	Up to two from each provider
NHS providers – Community health trusts	Up to two from each provider
Other CCGs	Up to five
Upper tier or unitary local authorities	Up to five per LA



Methodology and technical details



- Within the survey, stakeholders were asked a series of questions about their working relationship with the CCG. In addition, to reflect each core stakeholder group's different area of expertise and knowledge, they were presented with a short section of questions specific to the stakeholder group they represent.
- Fieldwork was conducted between 10th March 2015 and 7th April 2015.
- 35 of the CCG's stakeholders completed the survey. The overall response rate was 69% which varied across the stakeholder groups shown in the table opposite.

Survey response rates for Nottingham North and East CCG

Stakeholder group	Invited to take part in survey	Completed survey	Response rate
GP member practices	20	11	55%
Health and wellbeing boards	3	2	67%
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	8	100%
NHS providers	6	4	67%
Other CCGs	5	4	80%
Upper tier or unitary local authorities	5	2	40%
Wider stakeholders	4	4	100%



Interpreting the results



- For each question, the responses to each answer are presented both as a percentage (%) and the number of stakeholders giving a certain answer, which are included in brackets (n).
- The number of stakeholders answering (the base size) is stated for each question. The total number of responses is shown at the bottom of each chart and in every table.
- For questions with fewer than 30 stakeholders answering, we strongly recommend that you look at the number of stakeholders giving each response rather than the percentage, as the percentage can be misleading when based on so few stakeholders.
- This report presents the results from Nottingham North and East CCG's stakeholder survey.
 Throughout the report, 'the CCG / your CCG' refers to Nottingham North and East CCG.
- Where a result for the 'cluster' is presented, this refers to the overall score across the 20 CCGs that are most similar to the CCG. For more information on the cluster and how this has been defined, please see Appendix A.
- Where results do not sum to 100%, or where individual responses (e.g. tend to agree; strongly agree) do not sum to combined responses (e.g. strongly/tend to agree) this is due to rounding.



Using the results – the reports



- This report contains a summary section, a section on overall views of relationships and a section for each of the six assurance domains which show detailed breakdowns of responses to each question.
- The overall summary slides show the results at CCG level for the questions asked of all stakeholders (i.e. only those in section 1 of the questionnaire).
 - This provides CCGs with an 'at a glance' visual summary of the results for the key questions, including direction of travel comparisons where appropriate.
- The remainder of the report shows the results for all questions in the survey including any local questions where CCGs included them. The results for each question are provided at CCG level with a breakdown also shown for each of the core stakeholder groups where relevant.
 - This allows CCGs to interrogate the data in more detail.
- The main report has been structured by the six assurance domains. There is also an additional initial section on overall engagement and relationships which contains the general questions that are not linked to specific domains.
- At the end of each section of the main report, there is a table summarising the results, along with some comparative data for those questions asked of all stakeholders.



Using the results – comparisons



- For some questions, data has been included in the reports to compare the results for the CCG with:
 - The CCG's result in 2014
 - The 2015 average across all CCGs in the CCG's cluster
 - National CCG average in 2015
- The comparisons are included to provide a rough headline guide only and should be treated with caution due to the low numbers of respondents and differences in stakeholder lists.
- Any differences are not necessarily statistically significant differences; a higher score than the cluster average does not always equate to 'better' performance, and a higher score than in 2014 does not necessarily mean the CCG has improved.
- The comparisons offer a starting point to inform wider discussions about the CCG's ongoing
 organisational development and its relationships with stakeholders. For example, they may
 indicate areas in which stakeholders think the CCG is performing relatively less well, for the CCG
 to discuss internally and externally to identify what improvements can be made in this area, if
 any.





Summary



Overall engagement and relationship summary



	<u>KEY</u>			COMPARIS	ON GROUP	
The CCG's 2015 result is in top third of comparison group	The CCG's 2015 result is in middle third of comparison group	The CCG's 2015 result is in bottom third of comparison group	CCG in 2014	CCG in 2015	CCG Cluster (Base:	All CCGs (Base:
			(Base: 42/*Base: 42/**Base: 42)	(Base: 35/*Base: 35/**Base: 35)	649/*Base: 636/**Base: 633)	8472/*Base: 8320/**Base: 8363)
		by CCG in last 12 months great deal / A fair amount)	88%	86%		
Satisfaction with engagement by CCG in last 12 months* (% Very / Fairly satisfied)			79%	71%		
Exter	nt that the CCG has listened $(\%$	ed to views when provided s Strongly / Tend to agree)	67%	69%		
Extent that the CCG has taken on board suggestions when provided (% Strongly / Tend to agree)			Not comparable to 2014	66%		
Overall rating of working relationship with CCG (% Very good / Fairly good)			81%	89%		
Change	in working relationship with (% Got much	n CCG in last 12 months** o better / Got a little better)	55%	40%		

Commissioning decisions and contribution to wider discussions



The CCG's 2015 result	KEY ne CCG's 2015 result The CCG's 2015 result The CCG's 2015 result				COMPARIS	ON GROUP
is in top third of comparison group	is in middle third of comparison group	is in bottom third of comparison group	CCG in 2014 (Base: 42)	CCG in 2015 (Base: 35)	CCG Cluster (Base: 649)	All CCGs (Base: 8472)
Extent to which the CCG engages the right individuals / organisations when making commissioning decisions (% Strongly / Tend to agree)		commissioning decisions	60%	57%		
Confidence in the CCG to commission high quality services (% Strongly / Tend to agree)			52%	69%		
Understanding of the reasons behind commissioning decisions (% Strongly / Tend to agree)			50%	60%		
Effectiveness of CCG's communication about commissioning decisions (% Strongly / Tend to agree)			57%	57%		
Confidence that the CCG's plans will deliver continuous improvement in quality (% Strongly / Tend to agree)		45%	49%			
Extent to which th	e CCG has contributed to	wider discussions in local health economy great deal / A fair amount)	Not comparable to 2014	89%		



Monitoring the quality of services



The CCG's 2015 result is in top third of					COMPARISON GROUP	
comparison group	comparison group	comparison group	CCG in 2014 (Base: 42)	CCG in 2015 (Base: 35)	CCG Cluster (Base: 649)	All CCGs (Base: 8472)
Confidence that CCG effectively monitors the quality of the services it commissions (% Strongly agree / Tend to agree)		48%	63%			
Feel able to raise concerns about the quality of local services with the CCG (% Strongly agree / Tend to agree)		90%	97%			
Confidence in CC	Confidence in CCG to act on feedback it receives about the quality of services (% Strongly agree / Tend to agree)		62%	74%		



Plans and priorities



KEY The CCG's 2015 result The CCG's 2015 result The CCG's 2015 result				COMPARIS	ON GROUP	
is in top third of comparison group	is in middle third of comparison group	is in bottom third of comparison group	CCG in 2014 (Base: 42)	CCG in 2015 (Base: 35)	CCG Cluster (Base: 649)	All CCGs (Base: 8472)
	Knowledge of (CCG's plans and priorities				
		great deal / A fair amount)	69%	71%		
Have had the op	Have had the opportunity to influence the CCG's plans and priorities (% Strongly / Tend to agree)		69%	60%		
Comments on C	Comments on CCG's plans and priorities have been taken on board (% Strongly / Tend to agree)		64%	54%		
The C	The CCG effectively communicated its plans and priorities (% Strongly / Tend to agree)		Not comparable to 2014	57%		
The CCG's plans and priorities are the right ones (% Strongly / Tend to agree)		48%	46%			
Imp	roving patient outcomes is (%	a core focus for the CCG Strongly / Tend to agree)	Not asked in 2014	89%		

Nottingham North and East CCG

Overall leadership



The CCG's 2015 result	KEY The CCG's 2015 result	The CCG's 2015 result			COMPARIS	ON GROUP
is in top third of comparison group	is in middle third of comparison group	is in bottom third of comparison group	CCG in 2014 (Base: 42)	CCG in 2015 (Base: 35)	CCG Cluster (Base: 649)	All CCGs (Base: 8472)
The leadership of the CCG has the necessary blend of skills and experience (% Strongly / Tend to agree)		71%	71%			
There is clear and visible leadership of the CCG (% Strongly / Tend to agree)			69%	71%		
Confidence in the leadership of the CCG to deliver its plans and priorities (% Strongly / Tend to agree)			67%	63%		
The leadership of the CCG is delivering continued quality improvements (% Strongly / Tend to agree)		52%	54%			
Confidence in the lead		er improved outcomes for patients Strongly / Tend to agree)	62%	63%		

Clinical leadership



The CCG's 2015 result	KEY 2015 result The CCG's 2015 result The CCG's 2015 result				COMPARISON GROUP	
is in top third of comparison group	is in middle third of comparison group	is in bottom third of comparison group	CCG in 2014 (Base: 42)	CCG in 2015 (Base: 35)	CCG Cluster (Base: 649)	All CCGs (Base: 8472)
			(2000/12)	(500000)	(2000/010)	(2000/01/2)
There is clear and visible clinical leadership of the CCG (% Strongly / Tend to agree)		•	69%	69%		
Confidence in the clinical leadership of the CCG to deliver its plans and priorities (% Strongly / Tend to agree)		67%	60%			
The clinical leadership of the CCG is delivering continued quality improvements (% Strongly / Tend to agree)		52%	57%			
The clinical leadership of the CCG is delivering continued improvements to reduce local health inequalities (% Strongly / Tend to agree)		e local health inequalities	Not asked in 2014	57%		






Overall engagement and relationships



Overall, to what extent, if at all, do you feel you have been engaged by the CCG over the past 12 months?







86% (30)	2015 Great deal / Fair amount	88% (37)	2014 Great deal / Fair amount

By stakeholder group

Stakeholder group	Base	Great deal / Fair amount	Not very much / Not at all
GP member practices	11	82% (9)	18% (2)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	88% (7)	13% (1)
NHS providers	4	50% (2)	50% (2)
Other CCGs	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	100% (4)	-% (0)

Total responses: All stakeholders (2015: 35); (2014: 42)


Nottingham North and East CCG

lpsos

How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way in which the CCG has <u>engaged</u> with you over the past 12 months?



All stakeholders who have been engaged by the CCG



By stakeholder group

Stakeholder group	Base	Very / Fairly satisfied	Very / Fairly dissatisfied
GP member practices	11	55% (6)	18% (2)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	50% (1)	-% (0)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	88% (7)	-% (0)
NHS providers	4	50% (2)	25% (1)
Other CCGs	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	75% (3)	25% (1)

Total responses: All stakeholders who say they have been engaged by CCG (2015: 35); (2014: 42)

Nottingham North and East CCG


Ipsos MORI

Social Research Institute



Still thinking about the past 12 months, to what extent do you agree or disagree that the CCG has <u>listened</u> to your views where you have provided them?





By stakeholder group

Stakeholder group	Base	Strongly / Tend to agree	Strongly / Tend to disagree
GP member practices	11	45% (5)	27% (3)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	88% (7)	-% (0)
NHS providers	4	25% (1)	-% (0)
Other CCGs	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	75% (3)	25% (1)


Total responses: All stakeholders (2015: 35); (2014: 42)

Nottingham North and East CCG

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the CCG has <u>taken on board</u> your suggestions?



All stakeholders



■ Tend to agree

■ Don't know

■ Tend to disagree

■ Strongly agree

■ Neither agree nor disagree

■ Strongly disagree

■I have not given any suggestions

Nottingham North and East CCG


Total responses : All stakeholders (35)

By stakeholder group

Stakeholder group	Base	Strongly / Tend to agree	Strongly / Tend to disagree
GP member practices	11	45% (5)	27% (3)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	75% (6)	13% (1)
NHS providers	4	25% (1)	-% (0)
Other CCGs	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	75% (3)	25% (1)

Overall, how would you rate your working relationship with the CCG?





By	stakeholde	er group
----	------------	----------

Stakeholder group	Base	Very good / Fairly good	Very poor / Fairly poor
GP member practices	11	82% (9)	9% (1)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	100% (8)	-% (0)
NHS providers	4	75% (3)	-% (0)
Other CCGs	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	75% (3)	-% (0)

Total responses: All stakeholders (2015: 35); (2014: 42)

Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI


Social Research Institute

Ipso

Thinking back over the past 12 months, would you say your working relationship with the CCG has got better, got worse or has it stayed about the same?



All stakeholders who say they have a working relationship with the CCG



By stakeholder group

Stakeholder group	Base	Got much / A little better	Got much / A little worse
GP member practices	11	36% (4)	9% (1)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	50% (1)	-% (0)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	50% (4)	-% (0)
NHS providers	4	25% (1)	25% (1)
Other CCGs	4	50% (2)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	50% (1)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	25% (1)	-% (0)

Total responses: All stakeholders who say they have a working relationship with the CCG (2015: 35); (2014: 42)

Nottingham North and East CCG



Engagement and relationships: Summary




		Base
Overall, to what extent, if at all, do you feel you have been <u>engaged</u> by the CCG over the past 12 months?	86% (30) a great deal / a fair amount	All stakeholders (35)
And how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way in which the CCG has <u>engaged</u> with you over the past 12 months?	71% (25) very / fairly satisfied	All stakeholders who have been engaged (35)
Still thinking about the past 12 months, to what extent do you agree or disagree that the CCG has <u>listened</u> to your views where you have provided them?	69% (24) strongly / tend to agree	All stakeholders (35)
To what extent do you agree or disagree that the CCG has taken on board your suggestions?	66% (23) strongly / tend to agree	All stakeholders (35)
Overall, how would you rate your working relationship with the CCG?	89% (31) very / fairly good	All stakeholders (35)
Thinking back over the past 12 months, would you say your working relationship with the CCG has got better, got worse or has it stayed about the same?	40% (14) much better / a little better	All stakeholders who say they have a working relationship with the CCG (35)

Nottingham North and East CCG

Engagement and relationships: CCG comparisons





- Most stakeholders say that they have been engaged either a great deal or a fair amount.
- This is about the same as the finding for CCGs overall.

- The majority of stakeholders say they are satisfied with the way the CCG has engaged with them.
- This is about the same as the finding for CCGs overall.

Nottingham North and East CCG

Social Research Institute


Engagement and relationships: CCG comparisons





Listening to views


Still thinking about the past 12 months, to what extent, do you agree or disagree that the CCG has listened to your views where you have provided them?



Taking on board suggestions

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the CCG has taken on board your suggestions?

Percentage of stakeholders saying strongly agree / tend to agree



Base 2015: All stakeholders Base CCG cluster: (35)All stakeholders (649) Base national average: All stakeholders (8472)

- The majority of stakeholders say that the CCG has listened to their views.
- This is about the same as the finding for CCGs overall.


- The majority of stakeholders say that the CCG has taken on board their suggestions.
- This is higher than the finding for CCGs overall.

Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI

Engagement and relationships: CCG comparisons





Base CCG cluster:

Base national average:

- Most stakeholders say that they have a good working relationship with the CCG.
- This is about the same as the finding for CCGs overall.

- Less than half of stakeholders say that their working relationship with the CCG has got better over the past 12 months.
- This is about the same as the finding for CCGs overall.

Nottingham North and East CCG

Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015



Base 2015:

Base 2014:

10

All stakeholders who say

relationship with the CCG

they have a working

90

(633)

(8363)

100

70

30

All stakeholders who say (35)

they have a working

relationship with the CCG




Domain 1: Are patients receiving clinically commissioned, high quality services?



How effective, if at all, would you say the arrangements are for member participation and decision-making in your CCG?



All member practices



Total responses: All member practices (2015: 11); (2014: 17)

Nottingham North and East CCG


■ Very effective

lpsos

How involved, if at all, do you feel you are in your CCG's decision making process?



All member practices



Total responses: All member practices (2015: 11); (2014: 17)


Nottingham North and East CCG

■ Very involved

How confident are you, if at all, in the systems to sustain <u>two-way</u> <u>accountability</u> between your CCG and its member practices in the CCG?



All member practices



Total responses: All member practices (2015: 11); (2014: 17)


Nottingham North and East CCG

lpsos

■ Very confident

Approximately how often, if at all, do you have the opportunity for direct discussions with your CCG's leaders?






Total responses: All member practices (2015: 11); (2014: 17)

Nottingham North and East CCG

To what extent do you agree or disagree that representatives from member practices are able to take a leadership role within the CCG if they want to?



All member practices



Total responses: All member practices (2015: 11); (2014: 17)

Nottingham North and East CCG


lpsos

■ Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the quality of services is a key focus of your contracts with the CCG?



All NHS providers



■ Strongly agree ■ Tend to agree ■ Neither agree nor disagree ■ Tend to disagree ■ Strongly disagree ■ Don't know

Total responses : All NHS providers (4)

Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI


Social Research Institute

How involved, if at all, would you say clinicians from the CCG are in discussions about...?



Quality

All NHS providers



■ Not very involved

■ Not at all involved

Total responses: All NHS providers (4)

■ Very involved

■ Fairly involved

Nottingham North and East CCG

Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015


■ Don't know

How involved, if at all, would you say clinicians from the CCG are in discussions about...?



Service redesign

All NHS providers



Total responses: All NHS providers (4)

■ Very involved

Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI

Social Research Institute
© Ipsos MORI 14-070610-01 Version 1 | Internal Use Only

._

Domain 1: Summary



		Base
How effective, if at all, would you say the arrangements are for member participation and decision making in your CCG?	82% (9) very / fairly effective	All member practices (11)
How involved, if at all, do you feel you are in your CCG's decision making process?	64% (7) very / fairly involved	All member practices (11)
How confident are you, if at all, in the systems to sustain <u>two-way accountability</u> between your CCG and its member practices in the CCG?	55% (6) very / fairly confident	All member practices (11)
To what extent do you agree or disagree that representatives from member practices are able to take a leadership role within the CCG if they want to?	82% (9) strongly / tend to agree	All member practices (11)
To what extent do you agree or disagree that the quality of services is a key focus of your contracts with the CCG?	50% (2) strongly / tend to agree	All NHS providers (4)
How involved, if at all, would you say clinicians from the CCG are in discussions about?		All NHS providers (4)
A. Quality	50% (2) very / fairly involved	
B. Service redesign	50% (2) very / fairly involved	


Nottingham North and East CCG Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015



Domain 2: Are patients and the public actively engaged and involved?







Ipsos MORI


Social Research Institute

lpso

Nottingham North and East CCG



The CCG involves and engages with the right individuals and organisations when making commissioning decisions



By	stake	eholde	er group
----	-------	--------	----------

Stakeholder group	Base	Strongly / Tend to agree	Strongly / Tend to disagree
GP member practices	11	64% (7)	18% (2)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	-% (0)	-% (0)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	50% (4)	25% (2)
NHS providers	4	25% (1)	25% (1)
Other CCGs	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	50% (2)	-% (0)

Total responses: All stakeholders (2015: 35); (2014: 42)

Nottingham North and East CCG










I have confidence in the CCG to commission high quality services for the local population



By stakeholder group

Stakeholder group	Base	Strongly / Tend to agree	Strongly / Tend to disagree
GP member practices	11	45% (5)	-% (0)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	50% (1)	-% (0)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	88% (7)	-% (0)
NHS providers	4	50% (2)	50% (2)
Other CCGs	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	75% (3)	-% (0)


Total responses: All stakeholders (2015: 35); (2014: 42)

Nottingham North and East CCG





I understand the reasons for the decisions that the CCG makes when commissioning services



By stakehold	ler group
--------------	-----------

Stakeholder group	Base	Strongly / Tend to agree	Strongly / Tend to disagree
GP member practices	11	64% (7)	18% (2)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	50% (1)	-% (0)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	63% (5)	-% (0)
NHS providers	4	25% (1)	50% (2)
Other CCGs	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	25% (1)	-% (0)


Total responses: All stakeholders (2015: 35); (2014: 42)

Nottingham North and East CCG



The CCG effectively communicates its commissioning decisions with me

All stakeholders



By stakeholder group

Stakeholder group	Base	Strongly / Tend to agree	Strongly / Tend to disagree
GP member practices	11	55% (6)	18% (2)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	-% (0)	50% (1)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	75% (6)	-% (0)
NHS providers	4	50% (2)	25% (1)
Other CCGs	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	-% (0)	50% (2)

Total responses: All stakeholders (2015: 35); (2014: 42)

Nottingham North and East CCG


Ipsos MORI
Social Research Institute





The CCG's plans will deliver continuous improvement in quality within the available resources

All stakeholders



Tend to agree

By	stake	holder	group
Бу	Stant	Holdel	group

Stakeholder group	Base	Strongly / Tend to agree	Strongly / Tend to disagree
GP member practices	11	27% (3)	18% (2)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	50% (1)	-% (0)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	63% (5)	-% (0)
NHS providers	4	25% (1)	25% (1)
Other CCGs	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	25% (1)	-% (0)

Total responses: All stakeholders (2015: 35); (2014: 42)

Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI

Social Research Institute


Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015

Tend to agree

How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the steps taken by the CCG to engage with patients and the public?



All HealthWatch and patient group stakeholders



Total responses: All HealthWatch and patient group stakeholders (8)

Nottingham North and East CCG

■ Very satisfied

Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015


■ Don't know



To what extent, if at all, do you feel that the CCG has engaged with seldom heard groups?



All HealthWatch and patient group stakeholders



Total responses: All HealthWatch and patient group stakeholders (8)

Nottingham North and East CCG

Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015

■ A great deal

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements...?



All HealthWatch and patient group stakeholders

- Strongly agree / Tend to agree
- Strongly disagree / Tend to disagree


- Neither agree nor disagree
- Don't know

Number



The CCG's commissioning decisions are open and transparent so patients and the public are able to understand how decisions have been made if they want to







Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015

Total responses: All HealthWatch and patient group stakeholders (8)

Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements...?



The CCG's commissioning decisions are open and transparent so patients and the public are able to understand how decisions have been made if they want to

All HealthWatch and patient group stakeholders



■ Strongly agree

■ Tend to agree

■ Neither agree nor disagree

■Tend to disagree

■ Strongly disagree

■ Don't know

Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015

Total responses: All HealthWatch and patient group stakeholders (8)

Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI

Social Research Institute
© Ipsos MORI 14-070610-01 Version 1 | Internal Use Only




To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements...?



Patients and the public have the opportunity to input into the CCG's commissioning decisions

All HealthWatch and patient group stakeholders





Total responses: All HealthWatch and patient group stakeholders (8)


Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the CCG listens to and acts on any concerns, complaints or issues that are raised?



All HealthWatch and patient group stakeholders



Total responses: All HealthWatch and patient group stakeholders (8)

Nottingham North and East CCG

■ Strongly agree

lpsos

Domain 2: Summary



		Base
To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about the way in which the CCG commissions services?		All stakeholders (35)
A. The CCG involves and engages with the right individuals and organisations when making commissioning decisions	57% (20) strongly / tend to agree	
B. I have confidence in the CCG to commission high quality services for the local population	69% (24) strongly / tend to agree	
C. I understand the reasons for the decisions that the CCG makes when commissioning services	60% (21) strongly / tend to agree	
D. The CCG effectively communicates its commissioning decisions with me	57% (20) strongly / tend to agree	
E. The CCG's plans will deliver continuous improvement in quality within the available resources	49% (17) strongly / tend to agree	
How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the steps taken by the CCG to <u>engage</u> with patients and the public?	50% (4) very / fairly satisfied	All HealthWatch and patient group stakeholders (8)
To what extent, if at all, do you feel that the CCG has engaged with seldom heard groups?	38% (3) a great deal / a fair amount	All HealthWatch and patient group stakeholders (8)

Nottingham North and East CCG



Domain 2: Summary



		Base
To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?A. The CCG's commissioning decisions are open and transparent so patients and the public are able to understand how decisions have been made if they want toB. Patients and the public have the opportunity to input into the CCG's commissioning decisions	63% (5) strongly / tend to agree 75% (6) strongly / tend to agree	All HealthWatch and patient group stakeholders (8)
To what extent do you agree or disagree that the CCG listens to and acts on any concerns, complaints or issues that are raised?	63% (5) strongly / tend to agree	All HealthWatch and patient group stakeholders (8)

Nottingham North and East CCG

Domain 2: CCG comparisons






To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about the $\underline{\text{way in}}$ $\underline{\text{which}}$ the CCG commissions services...?

Understanding of commissioning decisions


I understand the reasons for the decisions that the CCG makes when commissioning services

Percentage of stakeholders saying strongly agree / tend to agree



Communicating commissioning decisions

The CCG effectively communicates its commissioning decisions with me



- The majority of stakeholders say that they understand the reasons for the decisions that the CCG makes.
- This is about the same as the finding for CCGs overall.

- Around half of stakeholders say that the CCG effectively communicates its commissioning decisions.
- This is about the same as the finding for CCGs overall.

Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015

Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI

Domain 2: CCG comparisons







The CCG 2014



: (


National CCG average 2015

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about the <u>way in</u> <u>which</u> the CCG commissions services...?

Involving and engaging the right individuals and organisations


The CCG involves and engages with the right individuals and organisations when making commissioning decisions

Percentage of stakeholders saying strongly agree / tend to agree



High quality services

I have confidence in the CCG to commission high quality services for the local population



- Around half of stakeholders say that the CCG involves and engages with the right individuals and organisations when making commissioning decisions.
- This is about the same as the finding for CCGs overall.


- The majority of stakeholders say that they have confidence in the CCG to commission high quality services for the local population.
- This is about the same as the finding for CCGs overall.

Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015

Nottingham North and East CCG

Domain 2: CCG comparisons





- Around half of stakeholders agree that the CCG's plans will deliver continuous improvement in quality within available resources.
- This is about the same as the finding for CCGs overall.




Domain 3: Are CCG plans delivering better outcomes for patients?



How much would you say you know about the CCG's plans and priorities?



All stakeholders



By stakeholder group

Stakeholder group	Base	Great deal / Fair amount	Not very much / Nothing at all
GP member practices	11	73% (8)	27% (3)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	50% (1)	50% (1)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	63% (5)	38% (3)
NHS providers	4	50% (2)	50% (2)
Other CCGs	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	75% (3)	25% (1)

Total responses: All stakeholders (2015: 35); (2014: 42)


Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI

Social Research Institute

To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the CCG's plans and priorities?





Nottingham North and East CCG


Ipsos MORI

To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the CCG's plans and priorities...?



I have been given the opportunity to influence the CCG's plans and priorities

Tend to agree



By s	takeho	lder	group
------	--------	------	-------

Stakeholder group	Base	Strongly / Tend to agree	Strongly / Tend to disagree
GP member practices	11	82% (9)	-% (0)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	50% (1)	-% (0)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	50% (4)	-% (0)
NHS providers	4	25% (1)	50% (2)
Other CCGs	4	75% (3)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	25% (1)	-% (0)

Total responses: All stakeholders (2015: 35); (2014: 42)

(29)

Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI

(21)

Social Research Institute



Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015


Tend to agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the CCG's plans and priorities...?



When I have commented on the CCG's plans and priorities I feel that my comments have been taken on board

All stakeholders



(27)

Strongly agree /

Tend to agree

By stakeholder group

Stakeholder group	Base	Strongly / Tend to agree	Strongly / Tend to disagree
GP member practices	11	64% (7)	18% (2)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	50% (1)	-% (0)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	63% (5)	13% (1)
NHS providers	4	25% (1)	25% (1)
Other CCGs	4	50% (2)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	25% (1)	25% (1)

Total responses: All stakeholders (2015: 35); (2014: 42)

Strongly agree /

Tend to agree

Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI

(19)

Social Research Institute




To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the CCG's plans and priorities...?



The CCG has effectively communicated its plans and priorities to me

All stakeholders



By stakeholder group

Stakeholder group	Base	Strongly / Tend to agree	Strongly / Tend to disagree
GP member practices	11	73% (8)	-% (0)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	50% (1)	50% (1)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	38% (3)	13% (1)
NHS providers	4	25% (1)	25% (1)
Other CCGs	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	25% (1)	50% (2)

Total responses : All stakeholders (35)


Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI

To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the CCG's plans and priorities...?



The CCG's plans and priorities are the right ones



By stakeholder group

Stakeholder group	Base	Strongly / Tend to agree	Strongly / Tend to disagree
GP member practices	11	18% (2)	9% (1)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	50% (1)	-% (0)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	38% (3)	-% (0)
NHS providers	4	50% (2)	-% (0)
Other CCGs	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	50% (2)	-% (0)

Total responses: All stakeholders (2015: 35); (2014: 42)

Tend to agree

Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI

Social Research Institute



Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015


Tend to agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement...?



Improving patient outcomes is a core focus of the CCG

All stakeholders



By stakeholder group

Stakeholder group	Base	Strongly / Tend to agree	Strongly / Tend to disagree
GP member practices	11	82% (9)	-% (0)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	88% (7)	-% (0)
NHS providers	4	75% (3)	-% (0)
Other CCGs	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	100% (4)	-% (0)

Total responses: All stakeholders (35)

Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI


Social Research Institute



How familiar are you, if at all, with the financial position of your CCG?



All member practices



■ Not very familiar

■ Not at all familiar

Total responses: All member practices (11)

■ Fairly familiar

Nottingham North and East CCG

■ Very familiar

Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015


■ Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement...?



I am regularly involved in discussions regarding the management of my CCG's finances

All member practices





■ Tend to agree

■ Neither agree nor disagree

■ Tend to disagree

■ Strongly disagree

■ Don't know

Total responses: All member practices (11)

Nottingham North and East CCG
Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015

Ipsos MORI


Social Research Institute



To what extent do you agree or disagree that value for money is a key factor in decision making when formulating my CCG's plans and priorities?



All member practices



Total responses: All member practices (11)


Nottingham North and East CCG

■ Strongly agree



How well, if at all, would you say you understand...?





Ipsos MORI

Social Research Institute


Nottingham North and East CCG


How well, if at all, would you say that you <u>understand</u>...?



The financial implications of the CCG's plans

All member practices





■ Very well ■ Fairly well ■ Not very well ■ Not at all well ■ Don't know

Total responses: All member practices (2015: 11); (2014: 17)


Nottingham North and East CCG

How well, if at all, would you say that you understand...?



The implications of the CCG's plans for service improvement

All member practices



Total responses: All member practices (2015: 11); (2014: 17)

■ Very well

Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI

Social Research Institute




How well, if at all, would you say that you understand...?



The referral and activity implications of the CCG's plans

All member practices



Total responses: All member practices (2015: 11); (2014: 17)

Nottingham North and East CCG

9

Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015


■ Very well

How well, if at all, would you say that you understand...?



The CCG's plans to reduce health inequalities





■ Very well ■ Fairly well ■ Not very well ■ Not at all well ■ Don't know

Total responses: All member practices (11)

Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI

Social Research Institute




How well, if at all, would you say that you <u>understand</u>...?



The CCG's plans to improve the health of the local population

All member practices



Total responses: All member practices (11)

■ Very well


Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015 Nottingham North and East CCG


■ Don't know

How well, if at all, do you understand what is required of your practice in order to implement the CCG's plans?



All member practices






Total responses: All member practices (2015: 11); (2014: 17)


Nottingham North and East CCG

How well, if at all, would you say the CCG and your organisation are working together to develop long-term strategies and plans?



All NHS providers






Total responses : All NHS providers (4)
Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015

Nottingham North and East CCG

How well, if at all, would you say the CCG understands the challenges facing your provider organisation?



All NHS providers





Total responses: All NHS providers (4)

Nottingham North and East CCG


Ipsos MORI

Social Research Institute

To what extent do you agree or disagree that your contracts with the CCG place enough emphasis on delivering positive patient outcomes?



All NHS providers



Total responses: All NHS providers (4)

Nottingham North and East CCG

Insos

■ Strongly agree

Domain 3: Summary



		Base
How much would you say you know about the CCG's plans and priorities?	71% (25) a great deal / a fair amount	All stakeholders (35)
To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the CCG's plans and priorities?		
A. I have been given the opportunity to influence the CCG's plans and priorities	60% (21) strongly / tend to agree	All stakeholders (35)
B. When I have commented on the CCG's plans and priorities I feel that my comments have been taken on board	54% (19) strongly / tend to agree	All stakeholders (35)
C. The CCG has effectively communicated its plans and priorities to me	57% (20) strongly / tend to agree	All stakeholders (35)
D. The CCG's plans and priorities are the right ones	46% (16) strongly / tend to agree	All stakeholders (35)
To what extent do you agree or disagree that improving patient outcomes is a core focus for the CCG?	89% (31) strongly / tend to agree	All stakeholders (35)
How familiar are you, if at all, with the financial position of the CCG?	100% (11) very / fairly familiar	All member practices (11)
I am regularly involved in discussions regarding the management of the CCG's finances	64% (7) strongly / tend to agree	All member practices (11)
To what extent do you agree or disagree that value for money is a key factor in decision making when formulating my CCG's plans and priorities?	100% (11) strongly / tend to agree	All member practices (11)

Nottingham North and East CCG

Domain 3: Summary




		Base
How well, if at all, would you say that you <u>understand</u> ?		
A. The financial implications of the CCG's plans	100% (11) very well / fairly well	All member practices (11)
B. The implications of the CCG's plans for service improvement	82% (9) very well / fairly well	All member practices (11)
C. The referral and activity implications of the CCG's plans	91% (10) very well / fairly well	All member practices (11)
D. The CCG's plans to reduce health inequalities	55% (6) very well / fairly well	All member practices (11)
E. The CCG's plans to improve the health of the local population	82% (9) very well / fairly well	All member practices (11)
How well, if at all, do you understand what is required of your practice in order to implement the CCG's plans?	91% (10) very well / fairly well	All member practices (11)
How well, if at all, would you say the CCG and your organisation are <u>working together</u> to develop long-term strategies and plans?	50% (2) very well / fairly well	All NHS providers (4)
How well, if at all, would you say the CCG <u>understands</u> the challenges facing your provider organisation?	25% (1) very well / fairly well	All NHS providers (4)
To what extent do you agree or disagree that your contracts with the CCG place enough emphasis on delivering positive patient outcomes?	25% (1) strongly agree / tend to agree	All NHS providers (4)

Nottingham North and East CCG



Domain 3: CCG comparisons





- The majority of stakeholders say that they know a great deal or a fair amount about the CCG's plans and priorities.
- This is about the same as the finding for CCGs overall.

- The majority of stakeholders say that they have been given the opportunity to influence the CCG's plans and priorities.
- This is about the same as the finding for CCGs

overall.

Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015

Base 2015:

10

Base 2014: All stakeholders

Nottingham North and East CCG

20

All stakeholders

Influence over the CCG's plans and priorities

30

(35)

(42)

Percentage of stakeholders saying strongly agree / tend to agree

I have been given the opportunity to influence the CCG's plans and priorities

40

50

60

Base national average: All stakeholders

Base CCG cluster:

70

80

All stakeholders

(649)

(8472)

90

100

Domain 3: CCG comparisons






To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the CCG's plans and priorities?

Taking comments on board

When I have commented on the CCG's plans and priorities I feel that my comments have been taken on board


Percentage of stakeholders saying strongly agree / tend to agree



Communicating plans and priorities

The CCG has effectively communicated its plans and priorities to me

Percentage of stakeholders saying strongly agree / tend to agree



- Around half of stakeholders say that they feel the CCG takes on board their comments on the plans and priorities.
- This is about the same as the finding for CCGs overall.


- Around half of stakeholders say that the CCG has effectively communicated its plans and priorities to them.
- This is about the same as the finding for CCGs overall.

Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015

Nottingham North and East CCG

Domain 3: CCG comparisons





- Around half of stakeholders agree that the CCG's plans and priorities are the right ones.
- This is about the same as the finding for CCGs overall.

Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015

Nottingham North and East CCG




Domain 4: Does the CCG have robust governance arrangements?



To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about the <u>way in which</u> the CCG monitors and reviews the quality of commissioned services...?





Nottingham North and East CCG

Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015

Ipsos MORI




To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about the <u>way in which</u> the CCG monitors and reviews the quality of commissioned services...?



I have confidence that the CCG effectively monitors the quality of the services it commissions

All stakeholders



■ Strongly agree

Neither agree nor disagree

■ Strongly disagree

63% (22) 2015
Strongly agree /
Tend to agree

■ Tend to agree

■ Tend to disagree
■ Don't know

■ Don't know

48% (20) 2014
Strongly agree /
Tend to agree

Total responses: All stakeholders (2015: 35); (2014: 42)

Nottingham North and East CCG

By stakeholder group


Stakeholder group	Base	Strongly / Tend to agree	Strongly / Tend to disagree
GP member practices	11	55% (6)	18% (2)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	50% (1)	-% (0)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	63% (5)	13% (1)
NHS providers	4	25% (1)	25% (1)
Other CCGs	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	75% (3)	-% (0)



To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about the way in which the CCG monitors and reviews the quality of commissioned services...?



If I had concerns about the quality of local services I would feel able to raise my concerns with the CCG



By stakeholder gr	Ol	up
-------------------	----	----

Stakeholder group	Base	Strongly / Tend to agree	Strongly / Tend to disagree
GP member practices	11	91% (10)	-% (0)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	100% (8)	-% (0)
NHS providers	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Other CCGs	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	100% (4)	-% (0)

Total responses: All stakeholders (2015: 35); (2014: 42)

Nottingham North and East CCG



To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about the <u>way in which</u> the CCG monitors and reviews the quality of commissioned services...?



I have confidence in the CCG to act on feedback it receives about the quality of services

All stakeholders 3 9% 6 17% 15 43% 31% 11 ■ Strongly agree ■ Tend to agree ■ Neither agree nor disagree ■ Tend to disagree ■ Don't know ■ Strongly disagree 2015 2014 74% 62% Strongly agree / Strongly agree /

By stakeholder group

Stakeholder group	Base	Strongly / Tend to agree	Strongly / Tend to disagree
GP member practices	11	64% (7)	9% (1)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	75% (6)	13% (1)
NHS providers	4	50% (2)	-% (0)
Other CCGs	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	75% (3)	25% (1)

Total responses: All stakeholders (2015: 35); (2014: 42)

(26)

Tend to agree

Nottingham North and East CCG

05

(26)


Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015

Tend to agree

Would you say that the amount of monitoring the CCG carries out on the quality of your services is too much, too little or about right?



All NHS providers



Total responses : All NHS providers (4)

Nottingham North and East CCG

Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015

Ipsos MORI

Social Research Institute


■ Don't know

■ Too much



When there is an issue with the quality of services, the response of the CCG is proportionate and fair

All NHS providers



Total responses: All NHS providers (4)


■ Strongly agree

Nottingham North and East CCG

Overall, how involved, if at all, do you feel you have been in discussions about CCG's plans for primary care co-commissioning?



All member practices



Total responses: All member practices (11)

■ Fairly involved

Nottingham North and East CCG


■ Very involved

Ipsos MORI

And how confident are you, if at all, that the CCG is taking the necessary steps to prepare for primary care co-commissioning? This may include amending the CCG's constitution, terms of reference for committees or conflict of interest policies, or any other changes required for the CCG to prepare for primary care co-commissioning



All member practices



- Very confident
- Not very confident
- Don't know

■ Fairly confident

■ Not at all confident

■ Not applicable – CCG is not pursuing a co-commissioning role

Total responses : All member practices (11)

Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI

Domain 4: Summary



		Base
To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about the <u>way in</u> <u>which</u> the CCG monitors and reviews the quality of commissioned services?		
A. I have confidence that the CCG effectively monitors the quality of the services it commissions	63% (22) strongly / tend to agree	All stakeholders (35)
B. If I had concerns about the quality of local services I would feel able to raise my concerns with the CCG	97% (34) strongly / tend to agree	All stakeholders (35)
C. I have confidence in the CCG to act on feedback it receives about the quality of services	74% (26) strongly / tend to agree	All stakeholders (35)
Would you say that the amount of monitoring the CCG carries out on the quality of your services is too much, too little or about right?	100% (4) about right	All NHS providers (4)
To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement?		
When there is an issue with the quality of services, the response of the CCG is proportionate and fair	75% (3) strongly / tend to agree	All NHS providers (4)
Overall, how involved, if at all, do you feel you have been in discussions about CCG's plans for primary care co-commissioning?	64% (7) very / fairly involved	All member practices (11)
And how confident are you, if at all, that the CCG is taking the necessary steps to prepare for primary care co-commissioning? This may include amending the CCG's constitution, terms of reference for committees or conflict of interest policies, or any other changes required for the CCG to prepare for primary care co-commissioning	73% (8) very / fairly confident	All member practices (11)

Nottingham North and East CCG

Domain 4: CCG comparisons






To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about the way in which the CCG monitors and reviews the quality of commissioned services...?

Confidence in monitoring of services

I have confidence that the CCG effectively monitors the quality of the services it commissions


Percentage of stakeholders saying strongly agree / tend to agree



Ability to raise concerns with the CCG

If I had concerns about the quality of local services I would feel able to raise my concerns with the CCG

Percentage of stakeholders saying strongly agree / tend to agree



Nottingham North and East CCG


- The majority of stakeholders are confident that the CCG effectively monitors the quality of services it commissions.
- This is about the same as the finding for CCGs overall.

- Most stakeholders agree they would feel able to raise any concerns about the quality of services with the CCG.
- This is higher than the finding for CCGs overall.



Domain 4: CCG comparisons





- The majority of stakeholders are confident that the CCG would act on feedback it received about the quality of services.
- This is about the same as the finding for CCGs overall.




Domain 5: Are CCGs working in partnership with others?



Please now think about discussions that take place about the wider health economy in your area, through local groups. This may include groups such as the Quality Surveillance Group, **Urgent Care Working Group, Council for Voluntary Services, Strategic Clinical Networks,** Clinical Senate Assemblies, clinical or non-clinical networks, forums and any other relevant local groups.



To what extent, if at all, would you say the CCG has contributed to wider discussions through these groups?



By stakeholder group

Stakeholder group	Base	Great deal / Fair amount	Not very much / Not at all
GP member practices	11	82% (9)	9% (1)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	88% (7)	13% (1)
NHS providers	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Other CCGs	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	75% (3)	-% (0)


Total responses: All stakeholders (35)

Nottingham North and East CCG

How well, if at all, would you say the CCG and your local authority are working together to <u>refresh</u> shared plans for integrated commissioning?



All upper tier / unitary local authority stakeholders



Total responses: All upper tier / unitary local authority stakeholders (2)

Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos


Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015

■ Very well

How well, if at all, would you say the CCG and your local authority are working together to deliver shared plans for integrated commissioning?



All upper tier / unitary local authority stakeholders




Total responses: All upper tier / unitary local authority stakeholders (2)

Nottingham North and East CCG

How effective, if at all, has the CCG been as part of the Local Safeguarding Children Board?



All upper tier / unitary local authority stakeholders



■ Not very effective

■ Not at all effective

Total responses : All upper tier / unitary local authority stakeholders (2)

Nottingham North and East CCG

Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015

■ Don't know


■ Fairly effective


■ Very effective

How effective, if at all, has the CCG been as part of the Safeguarding Adults Board?



All upper tier / unitary local authority stakeholders





Total responses: All upper tier / unitary local authority stakeholders (2)


Nottingham North and East CCG


lpsos,

How active, if at all, would you say the CCG is as a member of the health and wellbeing board?



All health and wellbeing board stakeholders





Total responses: All health and wellbeing board stakeholders (2)


Nottingham North and East CCG

lpsos

How active, if at all, would you say the CCG has been in developing your Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy?



All health and wellbeing board stakeholders






Total responses: All health and wellbeing board stakeholders (2)

Nottingham North and East CCG





Ipsos MORI


Social Research Institute

Nottingham North and East CCG



The CCG delivers on the elements of the Health and Wellbeing Strategy for which it is responsible

All health and wellbeing board stakeholders



Total responses : All health and wellbeing board stakeholders (2)

■ Tend to agree

Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI

Social Research Institute

■ Strongly agree

102 lpsos


■ Don't know

■ Too early to say



The CCG involves other members of the health and wellbeing board in the development of its commissioning plans

All health and wellbeing board stakeholders



■ Strongly agree ■ Tend to agree ■ Neither agree nor disagree ■ Tend to disagree ■ Strongly disagree ■ Too early to say ■ Don't know

Total responses: All health and wellbeing board stakeholders (2)

Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI


Social Research Institute

103 Ipsos



The CCG has been actively involved in the Joint Strategic Needs Assessment

All health and wellbeing board stakeholders





Total responses: All health and wellbeing board stakeholders (2)

Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI


Social Research Institute

104 Ipsos



The CCG supplies necessary information, such as the CCG's plan of how it proposes to exercise its functions, when it is required to do so

All health and wellbeing board stakeholders





Total responses: All health and wellbeing board stakeholders (2)

Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI


Social Research Institute

105 Ipsos

How well, if at all, would you say the CCG and the local authority are working together to <u>refresh shared plans</u> for integrated commissioning?



All health and wellbeing board stakeholders





Total responses: All health and wellbeing board stakeholders (2)

Nottingham North and East CCG


Ipsos MORI

Social Research Institute

And how well, if at all, would you say the CCG and the local authority are working together to <u>deliver shared plans</u> for integrated commissioning?



All health and wellbeing board stakeholders



Total responses: All health and wellbeing board stakeholders (2)

Nottingham North and East CCG

Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015

■ Very well

Domain 5: Summary



		Base
Please now think about discussions that take place about the wider health economy in your area, through local groups. This may include groups such as the Quality Surveillance Group, Urgent Care Working Group, Council for Voluntary Services, Strategic Clinical Networks, Clinical Senate Assemblies, clinical or non-clinical networks, forums and any other relevant local groups. To what extent, if at all, would you say the CCG has contributed to wider discussions through these groups?	89% (31) a great deal / a fair amount	All stakeholders (35)
How well, if at all, would you say the CCG and your local authority are working together to <u>refresh</u> shared plans for integrated commissioning?	100% (2) very well / fairly well	All upper tier / unitary local authority stakeholders (2)
How well, if at all, would you say the CCG and your local authority are working together to <u>deliver</u> shared plans for integrated commissioning?	100% (2) very well / fairly well	All upper tier / unitary local authority stakeholders (2)
How effective, if at all, has the CCG been as part of the Local Safeguarding Children Board?	50% (1) very effective / fairly effective	All upper tier / unitary local authority stakeholders (2)
How effective, if at all, has the CCG been as part of the Safeguarding Adults Board?	100% (2) very effective / fairly effective	All upper tier / unitary local authority stakeholders (2)
How active, if at all, would you say the CCG is as a member of the health and wellbeing board?	100% (2) very active / fairly active	All health and wellbeing board stakeholders (2)
How active, if at all, would you say the CCG has been in developing your Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy?	100% (2) very active / fairly active	All health and wellbeing board stakeholders (2)

Nottingham North and East CCG

B Ipsos

Domain 5: Summary




		Base
To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?		All health and wellbeing board stakeholders (2)
A. The CCG delivers on the elements of the Health and Wellbeing Strategy for which it is responsible	100% (2) strongly / tend to agree	. ,
B. The CCG involves other members of the health and wellbeing board in the development of its commissioning plans	50% (1) strongly / tend to agree	
C. The CCG has been actively involved in the Joint Strategic Needs Assessment	50% (1) strongly / tend to agree	
D. The CCG supplies the necessary information, such as the CCG's plan of how it proposes to exercise its functions, when it is required to do so	50% (1) strongly / tend to agree	
How well, if at all, would you say the CCG and the local authority are working together to <u>refresh shared plans</u> for integrated commissioning?	100% (2) very well / fairly well	All health and wellbeing board stakeholders (2)
And how well, if at all, would you say the CCG and the local authority are working together to <u>deliver shared plans</u> for integrated commissioning?	100% (2) very well / fairly well	All health and wellbeing board stakeholders (2)

Nottingham North and East CCG Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015










Contributing to wider discussions

Please now think about discussions that take place about the wider health economy in your area, through local groups. This may include groups such as the Quality Surveillance Group, Urgent Care Working Group, Council for Voluntary Services, Strategic Clinical Networks, Clinical Senate Assemblies, clinical or non-clinical networks, forums and any other relevant local groups.

To what extent, if at all would you say the CCG has contributed to wider discussions through these groups?

Percentage of stakeholders saying strongly agree / tend to agree



- Most stakeholders agree that the CCG has contributed to discussions about the wider health economy.
- This is higher than the finding for CCGs overall.


Nottingham North and East CCG



Domain 6: Does the CCG have strong and robust leadership?







Total responses : All stakeholders (35)

Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI


Social Research Institute

© Ipsos MORI 14-070610-01 Version 1 | Internal Use Only



The leadership of the CCG has the necessary blend of skills and experience

Tend to agree



By stakeholder group	By	stakehol	der	group
----------------------	----	----------	-----	-------

Stakeholder group	Base	Strongly / Tend to agree	Strongly / Tend to disagree
GP member practices	11	73% (8)	-% (0)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	50% (1)	-% (0)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	63% (5)	13% (1)
NHS providers	4	25% (1)	50% (2)
Other CCGs	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	100% (4)	-% (0)

Total responses: All stakeholders (2015: 35); (2014: 42)

(30)

Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI


(25)

Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015

Tend to agree



There is clear and visible leadership of the CCG



By stakeholder group

Stakeholder group	Base	Strongly / Tend to agree	Strongly / Tend to disagree
GP member practices	11	73% (8)	9% (1)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	50% (1)	-% (0)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	63% (5)	13% (1)
NHS providers	4	50% (2)	-% (0)
Other CCGs	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	75% (3)	25% (1)

Total responses: All stakeholders (2015: 35); (2014: 42)

/ Tend to agree

(29)

/ Tend to agree

Nottingham North and East CCG


Ipsos MORI

(25)



I have confidence in the leadership of the CCG to deliver its plans and priorities

/ Tend to agree



Stakeholder group	Base	Strongly / Tend to agree	Strongly / Tend to disagree
GP member practices	11	45% (5)	-% (0)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	63% (5)	13% (1)
NHS providers	4	25% (1)	-% (0)
Other CCGs	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	75% (3)	-% (0)

Total responses: All stakeholders (2015: 35); (2014: 42)

Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI


Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015

/ Tend to agree



The leadership of the CCG is delivering continued quality improvements

Tend to agree



By stakeholder group

Stakeholder group	Base	Strongly / Tend to agree	Strongly / Tend to disagree
GP member practices	11	45% (5)	-% (0)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	50% (1)	-% (0)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	63% (5)	-% (0)
NHS providers	4	25% (1)	-% (0)
Other CCGs	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	25% (1)	-% (0)

Total responses: All stakeholders (2015: 35); (2014: 42)

Nottingham North and East CCG


Ipsos MORI

Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015

Tend to agree



I have confidence in the leadership of the CCG to deliver improved outcomes for patients



By stakeholder group

Stakeholder group	Base	Strongly / Tend to agree	Strongly / Tend to disagree
GP member practices	11	45% (5)	-% (0)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	50% (1)	-% (0)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	63% (5)	13% (1)
NHS providers	4	50% (2)	-% (0)
Other CCGs	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	75% (3)	-% (0)

Total responses: All stakeholders (2015: 35); (2014: 42)


Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI

Social Research Institute







Total responses: All stakeholders (35)


Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI

Social Research Institute



There is clear and visible <u>clinical</u> leadership of the CCG



By	stakeho	lder	group
----	---------	------	-------

Stakeholder group	Base	Strongly / Tend to agree	Strongly / Tend to disagree
GP member practices	11	73% (8)	9% (1)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	50% (1)	-% (0)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	63% (5)	13% (1)
NHS providers	4	50% (2)	-% (0)
Other CCGs	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	50% (2)	-% (0)

Total responses: All stakeholders (2015: 35); (2014: 42)

Tend to agree

© Ipsos MORI 14-070610-01 Version 1 | Internal Use Only

(29)

Tend to agree

Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI


(24)

Social Research Institute



I have confidence in the <u>clinical</u> leadership of the CCG to deliver its plans and priorities

All stakeholders



By stakeholder group

Stakeholder group	Base	Strongly / Tend to agree	Strongly / Tend to disagree
GP member practices	11	55% (6)	9% (1)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	50% (1)	-% (0)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	63% (5)	-% (0)
NHS providers	4	50% (2)	-% (0)
Other CCGs	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	25% (1)	-% (0)


Total responses: All stakeholders (2015: 35); (2014: 42)

Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI



The <u>clinical</u> leadership of the CCG is delivering continued quality improvements



By stakeholder group

Stakeholder group	Base	Strongly / Tend to agree	Strongly / Tend to disagree
GP member practices	11	45% (5)	9% (1)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	50% (1)	-% (0)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	63% (5)	-% (0)
NHS providers	4	50% (2)	-% (0)
Other CCGs	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	25% (1)	-% (0)

Total responses: All stakeholders (2015: 35); (2014: 42)

Tend to agree

(22)

Tend to agree

Nottingham North and East CCG


Ipsos MORI

(20)



The <u>clinical</u> leadership of the CCG is delivering continued improvements to reduce local health inequalities





By stakeholder group

Stakeholder group	Base	Strongly / Tend to agree	Strongly / Tend to disagree
GP member practices	11	36% (4)	9% (1)
Health and wellbeing boards	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Local HealthWatch/patient groups	8	63% (5)	-% (0)
NHS providers	4	50% (2)	-% (0)
Other CCGs	4	100% (4)	-% (0)
Upper tier/unitary local authorities	2	100% (2)	-% (0)
Wider stakeholders	4	25% (1)	-% (0)

Total responses: All stakeholders (35)

Nottingham North and East CCG

Ipsos MORI

Domain 6: Summary



		Base
To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about the overall <u>leadership</u> of the CCG?		
A. The leadership of the CCG has the necessary blend of skills and experience	71% (25) strongly / tend to agree	All stakeholders (35)
B. There is clear and visible leadership of the CCG	71% (25) strongly / tend to agree	All stakeholders (35)
C. I have confidence in the leadership of the CCG to deliver its plans and priorities	63% (22) strongly / tend to agree	All stakeholders (35)
D. The leadership of the CCG is delivering continued quality improvements	54% (19) strongly / tend to agree	All stakeholders (35)
E. I have confidence in the leadership of the CCG to deliver improved outcomes for patients	63% (22) strongly / tend to agree	All stakeholders (35)

Nottingham North and East CCG


Domain 6: Summary



		Base
To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about the <u>clinical</u> leadership of the CCG?		
A. There is clear and visible clinical leadership of the CCG	69% (24) strongly / tend to agree	All stakeholders (35)
 B. I have confidence in the clinical leadership of the CCG to deliver its plans and priorities 	60% (21) strongly / tend to agree	All stakeholders (35)
C. The clinical leadership of the CCG is delivering continued quality improvements	57% (20) strongly / tend to agree	All stakeholders (35)
 D. The clinical leadership of the CCG is delivering continued improvements to reduce local health inequalities 	57% (20) strongly / tend to agree	All stakeholders (35)

Nottingham North and East CCG






- The majority of stakeholders agree that the leadership of the CCG has the necessary blend of skills and experience.
- This is about the same as the finding for CCGs overall.

- The majority of stakeholders agree that there is clear and visible leadership.
- This is about the same as the finding for CCGs overall.

Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015

Social Research Institute






- The majority of stakeholders have confidence in the leadership of the CCG to deliver its plans and priorities.
- This is about the same as the finding for CCGs overall.

- Around half of stakeholders agree that the leadership is delivering continued quality improvements.
- This is about the same as the finding for CCGs overall.

Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015

Nottingham North and East CCG






- The majority of stakeholders have confidence in the leadership of the CCG to deliver improved outcomes.
- This is about the same as the finding for CCGs overall.

Nottingham North and East CCG






- The majority of stakeholders agree that the clinical leadership of the CCG is clear and visible.
- This is about the same as the finding for CCGs overall.

- The majority of stakeholders are confident that the clinical leadership will deliver its plans and priorities.
- This is about the same as the finding for CCGs overall.

Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015

lpsos





- Around half of stakeholders agree that the clinical leadership of the CCG is delivering continued quality improvements.
- This is about the same as the finding for CCGs overall.

- Around half of of stakeholders are confident that the clinical leadership of the CCG is delivering continued improvements to reduce local health inequalities.
- This is about the same as the finding for CCGs overall.

Fieldwork: 10 March - 7 April 2015

Nottingham North and East CCG

All stakeholders

Base national average:



Appendices



Appendix A – cluster information



Each CCG is compared to a cluster of the 20 other CCGs to which they are most similar. The clusters are based on the following variables:

- Index of Multiple Deprivation averages (overall and health domain)
- Population registered with practices

Age of population

Population density

Ethnicity

Ratio of registered population to overall population

Based on these variables, the following 20 CCGs form the CCG cluster for Nottingham North and East CCG

Erewash CCG	Mansfield & Ashfield CCG
South East Staffs and Seisdon Peninsular CCG	Warrington CCG
North Tyneside CCG	South Cheshire CCG
Cannock Chase CCG	Fareham and Gosport CCG
Redditch and Bromsgrove CCG	South Eastern Hampshire CCG
Chorley and South Ribble CCG	Newark & Sherwood CCG
Hardwick CCG	North Staffordshire CCG
Warwickshire North CCG	Castle Point and Rochford CCG
Nottingham West CCG	Bassetlaw CCG
Wyre Forest CCG	Eastern Cheshire CCG

Nottingham North and East CCG





Version 1 | Internal Use Only

David.Jeans@ipsos.com | 020 7347 3349 Sylvie.Hobden@ipsos.com | 020 7347 3185

Ipsos MORI
Social Research Institute

